

Comment on “Discovery of microscopic electronic inhomogeneity in the high- T_c superconductor $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_{8+x}$ ”, cond-mat/0107347

J. E. Hirsch

*Department of Physics, University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093-0319*

(February 1, 2008)

The paper by Pan et al, cond-mat/0107347 [1], reports the discovery of ‘electronic inhomogeneity’ and ‘spatial variations in the local density of states’ in high T_c cuprates. In my paper “Effect of local potential variations in the model of hole superconductivity”, *Physica C* **194**, 119 (1992) [2], many of the findings of Pan et al were foreshadowed.

The model of hole superconductivity [3] was proposed in 1989 as an explanation of high T_c superconductivity in cuprates. The abstract of ref. 2, “Effect of local potential variations in the model of hole superconductivity” reads: “In the model of hole superconductivity the strength of the pairing interaction depends on the local carrier density. This gives rise to a dependence of the gap function Δ_k on the band energy ϵ_k . Fluctuations in the local potential energy will result in different values of Δ_k at the Fermi energy and hence in different values of the local energy gap. In particular, the energy gap can be sharply reduced. We study this behavior by numerical solution of the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations for the model. The behavior is contrasted with what occurs in the attractive Hubbard model, where local potential fluctuations have negligible effect. The physical origin of this behavior and the possible relevance to high T_c oxides is discussed.”

Pan et al [1] report the discovery of ‘spatial variations in both the local density of states (LDOS) spectrum and the superconducting energy gap’. They find that these variations occur ‘on a surprisingly short length scale of $14\text{\AA}$ ’, which ‘appears shorter than the experimental in-plane superconducting coherence length $\xi_{ab} \sim 22 - 27\text{\AA}$ ’. They propose that their observations ‘naturally lead one to relate the magnitude of the integrated local density of states to the local oxygen doping concentration’, and they find that ‘the spectra obtained at points with larger integrated LDOS values exhibit higher differential conductance, smaller gap values, and sharper coherence peaks’.

In summary, Pan’s et al results [1] suggest that in the regions of higher oxygen doping concentrations the hole concentration in the plane is larger and this leads to the observed variations, on a surprisingly short length scale.

In our 1992 paper [2], we explored one of the consequences of the finite slope of the gap function predicted in the theory of hole superconductivity [3]. The paper says ‘we study the effect of local potential fluctuations in the local energy gap (as measured in a tunneling experiment), by numerical solution of the Bogoliubov-de Gennes equations for the model. We find that such fluctuations can cause large variations in the local energy

gap’. In that paper we modeled the potential fluctuations by variations in the local single particle energy ϵ_i , and considered both situations where ‘ ϵ_i varies slowly over distances of the order of the coherence length’, and situations where ‘ ϵ_i varies over distances of the order of the coherence length or shorter’. We found that ‘similar sensitivity of the local energy gap to disorder is expected in both regimes of slowly varying and rapidly varying fluctuations with respect to the coherence length’. We plotted the behavior of the local tunneling density of states, arguing that ‘with a scanning tunneling microscope and a tip of atomic dimensions it is in principle possible to obtain atomic resolution’. We concluded ‘our results illustrate that tunneling measurements at different points in a sample can exhibit large variations due to variations in the local potential energy’. We found the surprising result that ‘for disorder on length scales of the order of or shorter than the coherence length, variations in the energy gap will occur due to the finite slope of the gap function’.

Finally, we gave physical arguments to explain these effects: ‘A change in on-site energies changes the strength of the interaction in the model of hole superconductivity due to the fact that for higher (lower) on-site energies the wavefunction at the Fermi energy becomes more bonding (antibonding) like’. We contrasted this with the behavior of other models, in particular the attractive Hubbard model, where no such behavior is expected and concluded ‘we would expect real materials described by the model of hole superconductivity to be more sensitive to nonmagnetic disorder than those described by attractive Hubbard or similar models, particularly if the slope of the gap function is large’. We also discussed the relation of this to the kinetic energy gain predicted to occur in this model upon going superconducting.

Figure 1(a) shows one of the figures of our ‘92 paper (a) [2], and Figure 1(b) show spectra reported by Pan et al [1]. The reader will note an asymmetry in the spectra of opposite sign in both panels. This is because in our paper ω refers to the voltage of the tip, while in Pan’s paper the bias voltage refers to the voltage of the sample.

The theory of hole superconductivity predicts larger conductance for negatively biased sample [3]. The different curves in Figure 1(a) correspond to different values of the on-site energy ϵ_i for the hole: in going from the solid to the dash-dotted curve, ϵ_i becomes more negative, corresponding to an *increase* in the local hole concentration; this will occur in the presence of higher oxygen doping in the region. The reader will note that the gap becomes smaller and the coherence peaks become sharper, a similar behavior as seen in the progression from curves 1 to 5 in (b), the data of Pan et al. According to Pan et al [1], those curves correspond to increasing LDOS values, corresponding to higher local oxygen doping concentration.

The spectra shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) do not agree in every detail. Nevertheless, we believe the qualitative similarity, and the fact that the results in (a) were published 9 years ago, are significant. It is also interesting to note that the paper of Pan et al [1] makes no reference to the work discussed here [2], even though both the first and last authors of Ref. 1 were made aware of that work. The model of hole superconductivity makes many other predictions on the superconductivity of high T_c cuprates, MgB_2 , and other materials [4].

-
- [1] S.H. Pan et al, cond-mat/0107347 (2001).
 - [2] J.E Hirsch, Physica C **194**, 119 (1992).
 - [3] J.E. Hirsch and F. Marsiglio, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 11515 (1989); Physica C **162-164**, 591 (1989); F. Marsiglio and J.E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 6435 (1990); J. E. Hirsch, Physica C **158**, 326 (1989).
 - [4] J.E. Hirsch, cond-mat/0106310 (2001).

FIG. 1. (a) Numerical results for the local density of states in the presence of local potential variations in the model of hole superconductivity, from Ref. 2. In the progression from solid to dash-dotted curves the local hole site energy ϵ_i becomes more negative, leading to increased local hole concentration. (b) Experimental data from Pan et al, ref. 1. The progression from curves 1 to 5 corresponds to increasing LDOS, corresponding to increasing local hole concentration.

